

**MOTT HAVEN SCHOOL FACILITY
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (FEIS)**

Project Location: Concourse Village West and East 156th Street
Concourse Village, Bronx

Lead Agency: New York City School Construction Authority
30-30 Thomson Avenue
Long Island City, NY 11101

Lead Agency Contact: Ross J. Holden
Vice President and General Counsel

Preparers: AKRF, Inc.
440 Park Avenue South
New York, NY 10016
Historical Perspectives, Inc.
P.O. Box 3037
Westport, CT 06880

October 2, 2006

Table of Contents

Foreword.....	F-1
Executive Summary	S-1
1: Project Description	1-1
A. Introduction.....	1-1
B. Purpose and Need	1-2
C. Project Site and Proposed School	1-2
2: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	2-1
A. Introduction.....	2-1
B. Methodology	2-1
C. Existing Conditions.....	2-1
Land Use	2-1
Project Site	2-1
Study Area.....	2-2
Zoning and Public Policy.....	2-3
Project Site	2-3
Study Area.....	2-3
Community Character.....	2-4
Community Facilities.....	2-5
D. The Future Without the Proposed Actions.....	2-6
Land Use	2-6
Project Site	2-6
Study Area.....	2-6
Zoning.....	2-7
Community Character.....	2-7
Community Facilities.....	2-8
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	2-8
Land Use	2-8
Project Site	2-8
Study Area.....	2-8
Zoning and Public Policy.....	2-8
Project Site	2-8
Community Character	2-8
Community Facilities	2-9

- 3: Historic Resources3-1**
 - A. Introduction3-1
 - B. Existing Conditions3-1
 - Archaeological Resources3-1
 - Potential Precontact-Period Resources3-1
 - Potential Historic-Period Resources3-2
 - Architectural Resources3-3
 - Project Site.....3-3
 - Study Area3-3
 - C. The Future Without the Proposed Actions3-4
 - Archaeological Resources3-4
 - Architectural Resources3-4
 - D. The Future With the Proposed Actions3-4
 - Archeological Resources3-4
 - Architectural Resources3-5
 - Project Site.....3-5
 - Study Area3-5
- 4: Urban Design and Visual Resources4-1**
 - A. Introduction4-1
 - B. Existing Conditions4-1
 - Project Site4-1
 - Urban Design4-1
 - Visual Resources4-2
 - Study Area.....4-2
 - Urban Design4-2
 - Visual Resources4-4
 - C. The Future Without the Proposed Project4-4
 - Project Site4-4
 - D. The Future With the Proposed Project4-5
 - Project Site4-5
 - Urban Design4-5
 - Visual Resources4-5
 - Study Area.....4-5
 - Urban Design4-5
 - Visual Resources4-6
- 5: Shadows5-1**
- 6: Traffic and Transportation6-1**
 - A. Introduction6-1

B.	Methodology	6-1
	Signalized Intersections	6-1
	Unsignalized Intersections	6-2
C.	Existing Conditions.....	6-2
	Roadway Network	6-2
	Traffic Conditions.....	6-4
	Levels of Service	6-4
	Signalized Intersections.....	6-4
	Parking	6-4
D.	The Future Without the Proposed Project.....	6-7
	Future Development Projects.....	6-7
	Nueva Era.....	6-7
	Reconstruction of the East 153rd Street Bridge	6-7
	Gateway Center at Bronx Terminal Market	6-7
	Plaza at the Hub.....	6-8
	Melrose Commons Urban Renewal Plan	6-8
	New Housing New York Legacy Project	6-8
	Traffic Conditions.....	6-8
	Parking.....	6-8
E.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	6-10
	Project Trip Generation and Modal Split.....	6-10
	Charter School.....	6-10
	Intermediate/High School.....	6-10
	High Schools	6-11
	District 75 Students	6-12
	Teachers and Administrative Staff	6-12
	Site Access and Student Drop-Offs.....	6-13
	Project Vehicle Assignment.....	6-13
	Traffic Conditions.....	6-13
	Impact Criteria	6-13
	Signalized Intersections.....	6-15
	Unsignalized Intersections	6-15
	Parking	6-15
	Pedestrian Safety.....	6-15
7: Transit and Pedestrians		7-1
A.	Introduction.....	7-1
B.	Methodology	7-1
	Subway Station Elements	7-1
	Pedestrian Operations	7-2
C.	Existing Conditions.....	7-4
	Transit Study Area.....	7-4
	Subway Service	7-4

Mott Haven School Facility

Bus Service	7-4
Pedestrian Study Area	7-5
Analysis Results	7-5
Subway Station Operations.....	7-5
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	7-6
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project	7-10
Transit and Pedestrian Volume Projections	7-11
Nueva Era	7-11
Plaza at the Hub	7-11
Melrose Commons Urban Renewal Plan.....	7-11
New Housing New York Legacy Project	7-11
Analysis Results	7-12
Subway Station Operations.....	7-12
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	7-12
E. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	7-18
Trip Distribution and Assignment.....	7-18
Analysis Results	7-20
Subway Station Operations.....	7-20
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	7-20
Pedestrian Safety	7-26
8: Air Quality	8-1
A. Introduction	8-1
B. Pollutants for Analysis	8-1
Carbon Monoxide.....	8-1
Nitrogen Oxides, VOCs, and Ozone	8-2
Lead	8-2
Respirable Particulate Matter—PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}	8-3
Sulfur Dioxide	8-3
Air Toxics	8-3
C. Air Quality Standards.....	8-4
National and State Air Quality Standards	8-4
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	8-4
Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts.....	8-6
D. Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations.....	8-6
Mobile Sources.....	8-6
Introduction.....	8-6
Dispersion Model for Microscale Analyses.....	8-7
Meteorology.....	8-7
Analysis Year.....	8-8
Vehicle Emissions Data	8-8
Traffic Data.....	8-8
Background Concentrations.....	8-8

Mobile Source Analysis Sites.....	8-9
Receptor Locations.....	8-9
Stationary Sources	8-9
HVAC Source Screening Analysis.....	8-9
Industrial Source Screening Analysis.....	8-10
Chemical Spill Analysis	8-10
E. Existing Conditions.....	8-14
Existing Monitored Air Quality Conditions	8-14
Predicted Pollutant Concentrations in the Study Area.....	8-14
F. The Future Without the Proposed Project.....	8-15
Mobile Source Analysis.....	8-15
G. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	8-15
Mobile Source Analysis.....	8-15
HVAC Equipment Impacts	8-15
Industrial Source Impacts	8-16
Chemical Spill Analysis.....	8-16
9: Noise.....	9-1
A. Introduction.....	9-1
Noise Fundamentals.....	9-1
“A”-Weighted Sound Level (dBA)	9-1
Community Response to Changes in Noise Levels.....	9-1
Noise Descriptors Used in Impact Assessment	9-3
B. Noise Standards and Criteria	9-4
New York City Noise Code	9-4
New York CEQR Noise Standards	9-4
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.....	9-6
Impact Definition.....	9-6
C. Existing Conditions.....	9-6
Site Description.....	9-6
Selection of Noise Receptor Locations.....	9-7
Noise Monitoring	9-7
Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring	9-7
Results of Baseline Measurements	9-7
D. Noise Prediction Methodology	9-8
Traffic Noise.....	9-8
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project.....	9-8
F. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	9-9
Operational Impacts	9-9
School Interior Noise Levels	9-10
10: Soil and Groundwater Conditions	10-1
A. Existing Conditions.....	10-1
B. The Future Without the Proposed Project.....	10-2

C. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	10-2
11: Infrastructure and Solid Waste	11-1
A. Introduction	11-1
B. Existing Conditions	11-1
Water Supply.....	11-1
Sanitary Sewage and Stormwater.....	11-1
Energy	11-2
Solid Waste	11-2
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project	11-2
Water Supply.....	11-2
Sanitary Sewage	11-3
Storm Water	11-3
Solid Waste	11-3
Energy	11-3
D. Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	11-3
Water Supply.....	11-3
Sanitary Sewage	11-3
Storm Water	11-4
Solid Waste	11-4
Energy	11-4
12: Natural Resources	12-1
A. Introduction	12-1
Methodology	12-1
B. Regulations and Permits.....	12-2
Federal.....	12-2
Clean Water Act (33 USC §§ 1251 to 1387).....	12-2
Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pl 93-205; 16 USC 1531 Et Seq.)	12-2
New York.....	12-2
State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES), Article 17 Title 8, ECL, Implementing Regulations 6NYCRR Parts 750 through 757.....	12-2
The New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (6NYCRR Part 617, SEQRA).....	12-3
Fish and Wildlife Act, Article 11, Title 20, ECL.....	12-3
Endangered and Threatened Species of Fish and Wildlife; Species of Special Concern, eCL, Sections 11-0535[1]-[2], 11-0536[2], [4], Implementing Regulations 6 NYCRR Part 182.....	12-3
C. Existing Conditions.....	12-3
Vegetation	12-3
Wildlife	12-3
Soils.....	12-4
Wetlands.....	12-4
Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Species.....	12-4

D.	The Future Without the Proposed Project.....	12-5
	Project Site.....	12-5
	Study Area	12-5
E.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	12-6
	Terrestrial Resources	12-6
	Stormwater and Erosion Control	12-6
13: Construction Impacts		13-1
A.	Introduction.....	13-1
B.	Construction Activities and Schedule	13-1
C.	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	13-1
	Traffic and Transportation	13-1
	Air Quality	13-2
	Noise	3-2
	Control Methods.....	13-2
	Soil and Groundwater Conditions.....	13-4
14: Alternatives		14-1
A.	Introduction.....	14-1
B.	No Build Alternative.....	14-1
	Land Use, Zoning and Community Character	14-1
	Historic Resources	14-2
	Urban Design and Visual Resources.....	14-2
	Shadows	14-2
	Transportation.....	14-2
	Air Quality	14-2
	Noise	14-2
	Soil and Groundwater	14-3
	Infrastructure and Energy	14-3
	Natural Resources	14-3
	Construction.....	14-3
C.	As-Of-Right Residential Alternative	14-3
	Land Use, Zoning and Community Character	14-4
	Historic and Archaeological Resources	14-4
	Urban Design and Visual Resources.....	14-4
	Shadows	14-4
	Traffic and Parking	14-5
	Transit and Pedestrians	14-5
	Air Quality	14-5
	Noise	14-6
	Soil and Groundwater	14-6
	Infrastructure.....	14-6
	Construction.....	14-6
15: Mitigation		15-1

Mott Haven School Facility

- A. Introduction 15-1
- B. Traffic and Parking..... 15-1
 - Signalized Intersections..... 15-1
 - Unsignalized Intersections 15-1
 - Recommended Mitigation Measures..... 15-2
- C. Transit and Pedestrians..... 15-7
 - Pedestrian Elements 15-7
 - Pedestrian Safety 15-7
 - Air Quality 15-8
- 16: Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 16-1**
- 17: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Project..... 17-1**
- 18: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources..... 18-1**
- 19: The Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity..... 19-1**
- 20: Response to Comments..... 20-1**

Appendix A: Correspondence

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
6-1 Signalized Intersections 2005 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis.....	6-5
6-2 Unsignalized Intersections 2005 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis	6-7
6-3 Signalized Intersections 2005 Existing and 2010 No Build Conditions Level of Service Analyses.....	6-9
6-4 Unsignalized Intersections 2005 Existing and 2010 No Build Conditions Level of Service Analyses.....	6-9
6-5 Trip Generation—Charter School	6-10
6-6 Trip Generation—Intermediate/High School	6-11
6-7 Trip Generation—High Schools.....	6-11
6-8 Trip Generation District 75—Special Education Students.....	6-12
6-9 Trip Generation—Teachers and Administrative Staff	6-12
6-10 Signalized Intersections—2010 No Build and Build Conditions Level of Service Analyses.....	6-14
6-11 Unsignalized Intersections—2010 No Build and Build Conditions Level of Service Analyses.....	6-14
7-1 Level of Service Criteria for Subway Station Elements.....	7-2
7-2 Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements	7-3
7-3 NYCT Local Bus Routes Serving Lower Manhattan.....	7-5
7-4 2004 Existing Conditions: Subway Station Analysis.....	7-6
7-5 2005 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks	7-7
7-6 2005 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks.....	7-8
7-7 2005 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corner Reservoirs	7-9
7-8 2005 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks.....	7-9
7-9 2005 Existing Conditions: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks.....	7-10
7-10 2010 No Build Conditions: Subway Station Analysis	7-12
7-11 2010 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks.....	7-13
7-12 2010 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks.....	7-14
7-13 2010 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corner Reservoirs	7-16

List of Tables

7-14 2010 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks7-17

7-15 2010 No Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks7-18

7-16 2010 Build Conditions: Subway Station Analysis.....7-20

7-17 2010 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks7-21

7-18 2010 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks7-22

7-19 2010 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corner Reservoirs7-24

7-20 2010 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks7-25

7-21 2010 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks7-26

7-22 Vehicle—Pedestrian Accident Summary7-27

8-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards8-5

8-2 Expected Hazardous Materials in the Proposed Laboratories8-12

8-3 Chemicals Selected for Worst-Case Spill Analysis.....8-12

8-4 Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data.....8-14

8-5 Maximum Predicted Existing 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentration
for 2005 (ppm).....8-14

8-6 Future (2010) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build
Concentration (ppm).....8-15

8-7 Future (2010) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Build
Concentration (ppm).....8-15

8-8 Maximum Predicted Impacts on Proposed Project from Industrial Sources
Cumulative Impacts.....8-17

8-9 Maximum Predicted Concentrations (ppm).....8-18

9-1 Common Noise Levels9-2

9-2 Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels.....9-2

9-3 Community Response to Increases in Noise Levels.....9-3

9-4 City of New York Ambient Noise Quality Zone Criteria (dBA)9-4

9-5 Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review.....9-5

9-6 Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels9-5

9-7 Existing Noise Levels at Sites 1 Through 4 (in dBA)9-8

9-8 Future No Build Noise Levels (in dBA).....9-9

9-9 Future Build Noise Levels (in dBA).....9-9

12-1 Birds With the Potential to Breed on the Project Site12-4

13-1 Typical Noise Emission Levels for Construction Equipment13-3

15-1 Recommended Mitigation Measures15-3

Mott Haven School Facility

15-2 2010 No Build, Build, Build With Mitigation Level Of Service Analysis 15-4

15-3 2010 Mitigated And Build Conditions: Crosswalks..... 15-7

15-4 Future (2010) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations
(Parts Per Million)..... 15-8

List of Figures

	Following Page
1-1 Project Location	1-2
1-2 Project Site	1-2
1-3 Proposed Site Plan.....	1-2
2-1 Land Use	2-2
2-2 Zoning	2-4
3-1 Architectural Resources	3-2
3-2 Views of the Project Site	3-4
3-3 Known Architectural Resources in the Study Area: Grand Concourse Historic District	3-4
3-4 Potential Architectural Resources in the Study Area	3-4
4-1 Photo Key	4-2
4-2 Views of the Project Site	4-2
4-3 Views of the Project Site	4-2
4-4 Views of the Study Area	4-4
4-5 Views of the Study Area	4-4
4-6 Views of Existing Schools Adjacent to the Project Site.....	4-4
4-7 Views of the Study Area	4-4
5-1 Shadow Analysis March Analysis Day	5-3
5-2 Shadow Analysis March Analysis Day	5-3
5-3 Shadow Analysis March Analysis Day	5-3
5-4 Shadow Analysis December Analysis Day	5-3
5-5 Shadow Analysis December Analysis Day	5-3
5-6 Shadow Analysis December Analysis Day	5-3
6-1 Traffic Study Area.....	6-2
6-2 2005 Existing Traffic Volumes: AM Peak Hour.....	6-4
6-3 2005 Existing Traffic Volumes: PM Peak Hour	6-4

Mott Haven School Facility

6-4	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes: AM Peak Hour.....	6-8
6-5	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes: PM Peak Hour	6-8
6-6	Project-Generated Traffic Volumes: AM Peak Hour	6-14
6-7	Project-Generated Traffic Volumes: PM Peak Hour	6-14
6-8	Build Traffic Volumes: AM Peak Hour.....	6-14
6-9	Build Traffic Volumes: PM Peak Hour	6-14
9-1	Noise Receptor Locations	9-8
		*